Patient Safety Systems (PS)

Quality and Safety in Health Care
The quality of care and the safety of patients and residents are core values of The Joint Commission accreditation process. This is a commitment The Joint Commission has made to patients, residents, families, health care practitioners, staff, and health care organization leaders.

The ultimate purpose of The Joint Commission’s accreditation process is to enhance quality of care and safety for patients and residents. Each accreditation requirement, the survey process, the Sentinel Event Policy, and other Joint Commission policies and initiatives are designed to help organizations reduce variation, reduce risk, and improve quality. Nursing care centers should have an integrated approach to safety so that safe care can be provided for every patient or resident in every care setting and service.

Nursing care centers are complex environments that depend on strong leadership to support an integrated patient and resident safety system that includes the following:

■ Safety culture
■ Validated methods to improve processes and systems
■ Standardized ways for interdisciplinary teams to communicate and collaborate
■ Safely integrated technologies

In an integrated patient and resident safety system, staff and leaders work together to eliminate complacency, promote collective mindfulness, treat each other with respect and compassion, and learn from patient or resident safety events, including close calls and other system failures that have not yet led to patient or resident harm. Sidebar 1 defines these and other key terms.
Quality and safety in health care are inextricably linked. Quality, as defined by the Institute of Medicine, is the degree to which health services for individuals and populations increase the likelihood of desired health outcomes and are consistent with current professional knowledge. It is achieved when processes and results meet or exceed the needs and desires of the people it serves. Those needs and desires include safety.

The components of a quality management system should include the following:

- Ensuring reliable processes
- Decreasing variation and defects (waste)
- Focusing on achieving positive measurable outcomes
- Using evidence to ensure that a service is satisfactory

Patient and resident safety emerges as a central aim of quality. Patient safety, as defined by the World Health Organization, is the prevention of errors and adverse effects to patients that are associated with health care. Safety is what patients, residents, families, staff, and the public expect from Joint Commission–accredited organizations. While

1In the term patient safety event, the word “patient” encompasses both patients and residents in nursing care centers.
1For a list of specific patient safety events that are also considered sentinel events, see the “Sentinel Event Policy” (SE) chapter in E-dition® or the Comprehensive Accreditation Manual.
patient or resident safety events may not be completely eliminated, the goal is always zero harm (that is, reducing harm to patients and residents). Joint Commission–accredited organizations should be continually focused on eliminating systems failures and human errors that may cause harm to patients, residents, families, and staff.

**Goals of This Chapter**

This “Patient Safety Systems” (PS) chapter provides health care organizations with a proactive approach to maintaining or redesigning a patient- and resident-centered system that aims to improve quality of care and patient and resident safety, an approach that aligns with the Joint Commission’s mission and its standards.

The Joint Commission partners with accredited organizations to improve the ability of health care systems to protect patients and residents. The first obligation of health care is to “do no harm.” Therefore, this chapter focuses on the following three guiding principles:

1. Aligning existing Joint Commission standards with daily work to engage patients, residents, and staff throughout the health care system, at all times, on reducing harm.
2. Assisting health care organizations to become learning organizations by advancing knowledge, skills, and competence of staff, patients, and residents by recommending methods that will improve quality and safety processes.
3. Encouraging and recommending proactive quality and patient/resident safety methods that will increase accountability, trust, and knowledge while reducing the impact of fear and blame.

It informs and educates nursing care centers about the importance and structure of an integrated patient and resident safety system and helps staff understand the relationship between Joint Commission accreditation and patient safety. It offers approaches and methods that may be adapted by any organization that aims to increase the reliability and transparency of its complex systems while removing the risk of patient or resident harm.

The PS chapter refers to specific Joint Commission standards, describing how existing requirements can be applied to achieve improved patient and resident safety. It does not contain any new requirements. Standards cited in this chapter are formatted with the standard number in boldface type (for example, “Standard RI.01.01.01”) and are accompanied by language that summarizes the standard. For the full text of a standard and its element(s) of performance (EP), please reference E-dition or the Comprehensive Accreditation Manual.
Throughout this chapter, we will do the following:

- Discuss how nursing care centers can develop into learning organizations
- Identify the role leaders have to establish a safety culture and ensure staff accountability
- Explain how nursing care centers can continually evaluate the status and progress of their patient and resident safety systems
- Describe how nursing care centers can work to prevent or respond to patient or resident safety events with proactive risk assessments
- Highlight the critical component of patient activation and engagement in a patient and resident safety system
- Provide a framework to guide nursing care center leaders as they work to improve patient and resident safety in their facilities

**Becoming a Learning Organization**

The need for sustainable improvement in patient and resident safety and the quality of care has never been greater. One of the fundamental steps to achieving and sustaining this improvement is to become a learning organization. A learning organization is one in which people learn continuously, thereby enhancing their capabilities to create and innovate. Learning organizations uphold five principles:

1. Team learning
2. Shared visions and goals
3. A shared mental model (that is, similar ways of thinking)
4. Individual commitment to lifelong learning
5. Systems thinking

In a learning organization, patient or resident safety events are seen as opportunities for learning and improvement. Therefore, leaders in learning organizations adopt a transparent, nonpunitive approach to reporting so that the organization can report to learn and can collectively learn from patient or resident safety events. In order to become a learning organization, a nursing care center must have a fair and just safety culture, a strong reporting system, and a commitment to put that data to work by driving improvement. Each of these require the support and encouragement of health care organization’s leaders.

Leaders, staff, patients, and residents in a learning organization realize that every patient or resident safety event (from close calls to events that cause major harm to patients or residents) must be reported and investigated. It is impossible to determine if there are
practical prevention or mitigation countermeasures available for a patient safety event without first doing an event analysis. An event analysis will identify systems-level vulnerabilities and weaknesses and the possible remedial or corrective actions that can be implemented. When patient or resident safety events are continuously reported, experts within the nursing care center can define the problem, complete a comprehensive systematic analysis, identify solutions, achieve sustainable results, and disseminate the changes or lessons learned to the rest of the facility.\textsuperscript{5-9} In a learning organization, the nursing care center provides staff with information regarding improvements based on reported concerns. This helps foster trust that encourages further reporting. (See the “Sentinel Event Policy” [SE] chapter for more about comprehensive systematic analyses.)

### The Role of Leaders in Patient and Resident Safety

Nursing care center leaders provide the foundation for an effective patient and resident safety system by doing the following:\textsuperscript{10}

- Promoting learning
- Motivating staff to uphold a fair and just safety culture
- Providing a transparent environment in which quality measures and learnings about patient or resident harm events are freely shared with staff
- Modeling professional behavior
- Addressing intimidating behavior that might undermine the safety culture
- Providing the resources and training necessary to take on improvement initiatives

For these reasons, many of the standards that are focused on the organization’s patient and resident safety system appear in the Joint Commission’s Leadership (LD) standards, including Standard \textbf{LD.03.01.01} (which focuses on having a culture of safety).

Without the support of nursing care center leaders, organizationwide changes and improvement initiatives are difficult to achieve. Leadership engagement in patient and resident safety and quality initiatives is imperative because 75\% to 80\% of all initiatives that require people to change their behaviors fail in the absence of leadership managing the change.\textsuperscript{5} Thus, leadership should take on a long-term commitment to transform the organization.\textsuperscript{11}
Safety Culture

A strong safety culture is an essential component of a successful patient and resident safety system and is a crucial starting point for nursing care centers striving to become learning organizations. In a strong safety culture, the health care organization has an unrelenting commitment to safety and to do no harm. Among the most critical responsibilities of nursing care center leaders is to establish and maintain a strong safety culture within their organization. The Joint Commission’s standards address safety culture in Standard **LD.03.01.01**, which requires leaders to create and maintain a culture of safety and quality throughout the organization.

The *safety culture* of a nursing care center is the product of individual and group beliefs, values, attitudes, perceptions, competencies, and patterns of behavior that determine the organization’s commitment to the quality and safety of its patients and residents. Nursing care centers that have a robust safety culture are characterized by communications founded on mutual trust, by shared perceptions of the importance of safety, and by confidence in the efficacy of preventive measures.\(^{12}\) Organizations will have varying levels of safety culture, but all should be working toward a safety culture that has the following qualities:

- Staff and leaders that value transparency, accountability, and mutual respect.\(^5\)
- Safety as everyone’s first priority.\(^5\)
- Behaviors that undermine a culture of safety are not acceptable, and thus are reported to organization leadership by staff, patients, residents, and families for the purpose of fostering risk reduction.\(^5,11,13\)
- Collective mindfulness is present, wherein staff realize that systems always have the potential to fail and staff are focused on finding hazardous conditions or close calls at early stages before a patient or resident may be harmed.\(^11\) Staff do not view close calls as evidence that the system prevented an error but rather as evidence that the system needs to be further improved to prevent any defects.\(^11,14\)
- Staff who do not deny or cover up errors but rather want to report errors to learn from mistakes and improve the system flaws that contribute to or enable patient or resident safety events.\(^7\) Staff know that their leaders will focus not on blaming providers involved in errors but on the systems issues that contributed to or enabled the patient or resident safety event.\(^7,15\)
- By reporting and learning from patient or resident safety events, staff create a learning organization.

Shading indicates a change effective January 1, 2024, unless otherwise noted in the What’s New.
A safety culture operates effectively when the nursing care center fosters a cycle of trust, reporting, and improvement.\textsuperscript{11,16} In organizations that have a strong safety culture, health care providers trust their coworkers and leaders to support them when they identify and report a patient or resident safety event.\textsuperscript{11} When trust is established, staff are more likely to report patient or resident safety events, and nursing care centers can use these reports to inform their improvement efforts. In the trust-report-improve cycle, leaders foster trust, which enables staff to report, which enables the organization to improve.\textsuperscript{11} In turn, staff see that their reporting contributes to actual improvement, which bolsters their trust. Thus, the trust-report-improve cycle reinforces itself.\textsuperscript{11} (See Figure 1.)

![Figure 1. The Trust-Report-Improve Cycle. In the trust-report-improve cycle, trust promotes reporting, which leads to improvement, which in turn fosters trust.](image)

Leaders and staff need to address intimidating or unprofessional behaviors within the nursing care center, so as not to inhibit others from reporting safety concerns.\textsuperscript{17} Leaders should both educate staff and hold them accountable for professional behavior. This includes the adoption and promotion of a code of conduct that defines acceptable behavior as well as behaviors that undermine a culture of safety. The Joint Commission’s Standard **LD.03.01.01**, EP 4, requires that leaders develop such a code.
Intimidating and disrespectful behaviors disrupt the culture of safety and prevent collaboration, communication, and teamwork, which is required for safe and highly reliable patient and resident care.\textsuperscript{18} Disrespect is not limited to outbursts of anger that humiliate a member of the health care team; it can manifest in many forms, including the following:\textsuperscript{5,13,18}

- Inappropriate words (profane, insulting, intimidating, demeaning, humiliating, or abusive language)
- Shaming others for negative outcomes
- Unjustified negative comments or complaints about another provider’s care
- Refusal to comply with known and generally accepted practice standards, which may prevent other providers from delivering quality care
- Not working collaboratively or cooperatively with other members of the interdisciplinary team
- Creating rigid or inflexible barriers to requests for assistance or cooperation
- Not returning pages or calls promptly

These issues are still occurring in health care organizations nationwide. Of 4,884 respondents to a 2013 survey by the Institute for Safe Medication Practices (ISMP), 73\% reported encountering negative comments about colleagues or leaders during the previous year. In addition, 68\% reported condescending language or demeaning comments or insults, while 77\% of respondents said they had encountered reluctance or refusal to answer questions or return calls.\textsuperscript{19} Further, 69\% report that they had encountered impatience with questions or the hanging up of the phone.

Nearly 50\% of the respondents indicated that intimidating behaviors had affected the way they handle medication order clarifications or questions, including assuming that an order was correct in order to avoid interaction with an intimidating coworker.\textsuperscript{19} Moreover, 11\% said they were aware of a medication error during the previous year in which behavior that undermines a culture of safety was a contributing factor. The respondents included nurses, physicians, pharmacists, and quality/risk management personnel.

Only 50\% of respondents indicated that their organizations had clearly defined an effective process for handling disagreements with the safety of an order. This is down from 60\% of respondents to a similar ISMP survey conducted in 2003, which suggests that this problem is worsening.\textsuperscript{19} While these data are specific to medication safety, their lessons are broadly applicable: Behaviors that undermine a culture of safety have an adverse effect on the quality and safety of patients and residents.
A Fair and Just Safety Culture

A fair and just safety culture is needed for staff to trust that they can report patient or resident safety events without being treated punitively. In order to accomplish this, nursing care centers should provide and encourage the use of a standardized reporting process for staff to report patient or resident safety events. This is also built into the Joint Commission’s standards at Standard LD.03.09.01, EP 3, which requires leaders to provide and encourage the use of systems for blame-free reporting of a system or process failure or the results of proactive risk assessments. Reporting enables both proactive and reactive risk reduction. Proactive risk reduction solves problems before patients or residents are harmed, and reactive risk reduction attempts to prevent the recurrence of problems that have already caused patient or resident harm.

A fair and just culture takes into account that individuals are human, fallible, and capable of mistakes, and that they work in systems that are often flawed. In the most basic terms, a fair and just culture holds individuals accountable for their actions but does not punish individuals for issues attributed to flawed systems or processes. Standard LD.04.01.05, EP 4, requires that staff are held accountable for their responsibilities.

It is important to note that for some actions for which an individual is accountable, the individual should be held culpable and some disciplinary action may then be necessary. (See Sidebar 2 for a discussion of tools that can help leaders determine a fair and just response to a patient or resident safety event.) However, staff should never be punished or ostracized for reporting the event, close call, hazardous condition, or concern.

Sidebar 2. Assessing Staff Accountability

The aim of a safety culture is not a "blame-free" culture but one that balances organization learning with individual accountability. To achieve this, it is essential that leaders assess errors and patterns of behavior in a consistent manner, with the goal of eliminating behaviors that undermine a culture of safety. There has to exist within the nursing care center a clear, equitable, and transparent process for recognizing and separating the blameless errors that fallible humans make daily from the unsafe or reckless acts that are blameworthy.

continued on next page
Sidebar 2. (continued)

Numerous sources (see references below) are available to assist an organization in creating a formal decision process to determine what events should be considered blameworthy and require individual discipline in addition to systems-level corrective actions. The use of a formal process reinforces the culture of safety and demonstrates the organization’s commitment to transparency and fairness.

Reaching a determination of staff accountability requires an initial investigation into the patient or resident safety event to identify contributing factors. The use of the Incident Decision Tree (adapted by the United Kingdom’s National Patient Safety Agency from James Reason’s culpability matrix) or another formal decision process can help make determinations of culpability more transparent and fair.5
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Data Use and Reporting Systems

An effective culture of safety is evidenced by a robust reporting system and use of measurement to improve. When nursing care centers adopt a transparent, nonpunitive approach to reports of patient or resident safety events or other concerns, the organization begins reporting to learn—and to learn collectively from adverse events, close calls, and hazardous conditions. While this section focuses on data from reported patient or resident safety events, it is but one type of data among many that should be collected and used to drive improvement.

When there is continuous reporting for adverse events, close calls, and hazardous conditions, the nursing care center can analyze events, change the process or system to improve safety, and disseminate the changes or lessons learned to the rest of the organization.\(^{21-25}\)

A number of standards relate to the reporting of safety information, including Performance Improvement (PI) Standard **PI.01.01.01**, which requires organizations to collect data to monitor their performance, and Standard **LD.03.02.01**, which requires organizations to use data and information to guide decisions and to understand variation in the performance of processes supporting safety and quality.

Nursing care centers can engage frontline staff in internal reporting in a number of ways, including the following:

- Create a nonpunitive approach to patient or resident safety event reporting
- Educate staff on and encourage them to identify patient or resident safety events that should be reported
- Provide timely feedback regarding actions taken on reported patient or resident safety events

---

Shading indicates a change effective January 1, 2024, unless otherwise noted in the What’s New.

**CAMNCC Update 2, January 2024**
Effective Use of Data

Collecting Data

When nursing care centers collect data or measure staff compliance with evidence-based care processes or patient and resident outcomes, they can manage and improve those processes or outcomes and, ultimately, improve patient and resident safety. The effective use of data enables organizations to identify problems, prioritize issues, develop solutions, and track performance to determine success. Objective data can be used to support decisions as well as to influence people to change their behaviors and to comply with evidence-based care guidelines.

The Joint Commission requires health care organizations to collect and use data related to certain outcomes regarding care and harm to patients and residents. Some key Joint Commission standards related to data collection and use require organizations to do the following:

- Collect information to monitor conditions in the environment (Standard EC.04.01.01)
- Identify risks for acquiring and transmitting infections (Standard IC.01.03.01)
- Use data and information to guide decisions and to understand variation in the performance of processes supporting safety and quality (Standard LD.03.02.01)
- Have an organizationwide, integrated patient safety program (Standard LD.03.09.01)
- Evaluate the effectiveness of their medication management system (Standard MM.08.01.01)
- Collect data to monitor their performance (Standard PI.01.01.01)
- Improve performance on an ongoing basis (Standard PI.03.01.01)

Analyzing Data

Effective data analysis can enable a nursing care center to “diagnose” problems within its system similar to the way one would diagnose a patient’s or resident’s illness based on symptoms, health history, and other factors. Turning data into information is a critical competency of a learning organization and of effective management of change. When the right data are collected and appropriate analytic techniques are applied, it enables the organization to monitor the performance of a system, detect variation, and identify opportunities to improve. This can help the nursing care center not only understand the current performance of the organization’s systems but also can help it predict its performance going forward.
Analyzing data with tools such as run charts, statistical process control (SPC) charts, and capability charts helps an organization determine what has occurred in a system and provides clues as to why the system responded as it did.\textsuperscript{24} Table 1 describes and compares examples of these tools.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tool</th>
<th>What It Is</th>
<th>When to Use It</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Run Chart                   | A chart that plots points on a graph to show levels of performance over time. A run chart is used to answer questions about whether performance is static or changing and, if it is changing, whether the change is for better or for worse. | - When the organization needs to identify variation within a system  
- When the organization needs a simple and straightforward analysis of a system  
- As a precursor to an SPC chart  
- When the organization needs to identify variation within a system and find indicators of why the variation occurred  
- When the organization needs a more detailed and in-depth analysis of a system  
- When the organization needs to determine whether a process will function as expected, according to requirements or specifications |
| Statistical Process Control (SPC) Chart | A visual representation that tracks progress over time that include an upper and lower control limit based on previous data. Action is taken when a point goes beyond a control limit or points form a pattern or trend. |                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| Capability Chart            | An analytical tool that uses upper and lower parameters for acceptable performance of tasks or processes to determine whether a given change in the process is capable of reducing variation in performance. |                                                                                                                                                                                                  |

**Using Data to Drive Improvement**

After data has been turned into information, leadership should ensure the following (in accordance with the requirements shown):\textsuperscript{26–28}

- Information is presented in a clear manner (Standard **LD.03.04.01**)
- Information is shared with the appropriate groups throughout the organization (from the front line to the board) (Standards **LD.03.04.01**, **LD.03.09.01**)
- Opportunities for improvement and actions to be taken are communicated (Standards **LD.03.05.01**, **LD.03.07.01**)
- Improvements are celebrated or recognized
A Proactive Approach to Preventing Harm

Proactive risk reduction prevents harm before it reaches the patient or resident. By engaging in proactive risk reduction, a nursing care center can correct process problems in order to reduce the likelihood of experiencing adverse events. Additional benefits of a proactive approach to patient and resident safety include increased likelihood of the following:

- Identification of actionable common causes
- Avoidance of unintended consequences
- Identification of commonalities across departments/services/units
- Identification of system solutions

In a proactive risk assessment the organization evaluates a process to see how it could potentially fail, to understand the consequences of such a failure, and to identify parts of the process that need improvement. A proactive risk assessment increases understanding within the organization about the complexities of process design and management—and what could happen if the process fails.

The Joint Commission addresses proactive risk assessments at Standard **LD.03.09.01**, EP 7, which requires nursing care centers to select one high-risk process and conduct a proactive risk assessment at least every 18 months. Nursing care centers should recognize that this standard represents a minimum requirement. Organizations working to become learning organizations are encouraged to exceed this requirement by constantly working to proactively identify risk.

When conducting a proactive risk assessment, organizations should prioritize high-risk, high-frequency areas. Areas of risk are identified from internal sources such as ongoing monitoring of the environment, results of previous proactive risk assessments, and results of data collection activities. Risk assessment tools should be accessed from credible external sources such as nationally recognized risk assessment tools and peer review literature.

Hazardous (or unsafe) conditions also provide an opportunity for a nursing care center to take a proactive approach to reduce harm. Nursing care centers benefit from identifying hazardous conditions while designing any new process that could impact patient and resident safety. A hazardous condition is defined as any circumstance that increases the probability of a patient or resident safety event. A hazardous condition may be the result of a human error or violation, may be a design flaw in a system or process,
or may arise in a system or process in changing circumstances.‡ A proactive approach to such conditions should include an analysis of the systems and processes in which the hazardous condition is found, with a focus on the climate that preceded the hazardous condition.

A proactive approach to hazardous conditions should include an analysis of the related systems and processes, including the following aspects:²⁹

- **Preconditions.** Examples include hazardous (or unsafe) conditions in the environment of care (such as noise, clutter, wet floors, and so forth) and inadequate staffing levels (inability to effectively monitor, observe, and provide care, treatment, and services to patients).

- **Supervisory influences.** Examples include inadequate supervision, unsafe operations, failure to address a known problem, and authorization of activities that are known to be hazardous.

- **Organization influences.** Examples include inadequate staffing, organization culture, and lack of strategic risk assessment.

**Tools for Conducting a Proactive Risk Assessment**

A number of tools are available to help organizations conduct a proactive risk assessment. One of the best known of these tools is the Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA). An FMEA is used to prospectively examine how failures could occur during high-risk processes and, ultimately, how to prevent them. The FMEA asks “What if?” to explore what could happen if a failure occurs at particular steps in a process.³⁰

Other tools to consider using for a proactive risk assessment include the following:

- **Institute for Safe Medication Practices Medication Safety Self Assessment®.**
  Available for various health care settings, these tools are designed to help reduce medication errors. Visit https://www.ismp.org/selfassessments/default.asp for more information.

---

³Human errors are typically skills based, decision based, or knowledge based, whereas violations could be either routine or exceptional (intentional or negligent). **Routine violations** tend to include habitual “bending of the rules,” often enabled by management. A routine violation may break established rules or policies, and yet be a common practice within an organization. An **exceptional violation** is a willful behavior outside the norm that is not condoned by management, engaged in by others, nor part of the individual’s usual behavior. **Source:** Diller T, et al. The human factors analysis classification system (HFACS) applied to health care. *Am J Med Qual.* 2014 May–Jun;29(3):181–190.

Potential problem analysis (PPA) is a systematic method for determining what could go wrong in a plan under development, rating problem causes according to their likelihood of occurrence and the severity of their consequences. Visit https://digital.ahrq.gov/health-it-tools-and-resources/evaluation-resources/workflow-assessment-health-it-toolkit/all-workflow-tools/potential-problem-analysis for more information.

Process decision program chart (PDPC) provides a systematic means of finding errors with a plan while it is being created. After potential issues are found, preventive measures are developed, allowing the problems to either be avoided or a contingency plan to be in place should the error occur. Visit https://digital.ahrq.gov/health-it-tools-and-resources/evaluation-resources/workflow-assessment-health-it-toolkit/all-workflow-tools/process-decision-program-chart for more information.

Sidebar 3 lists strategies for conducting an effective proactive risk assessment, no matter the strategy chosen.

Sidebar 3. Strategies for an Effective Risk Assessment

Regardless of the method chosen for conducting a proactive risk assessment, it should address the following points:

- Promote a blame-free reporting culture and provide a reporting system to support it.
- Describe the chosen process (for example, through the use of a flowchart).
- Identify ways in which the process could break down or fail to perform its desired function, which are often referred to as “failure modes.”
- Identify the possible effects that a breakdown or failure of the process could have on patients and residents and the seriousness of the possible effects.
- Prioritize the potential process breakdowns or failures.
- Determine why the prioritized breakdowns or failures could occur, which may involve performing a hypothetical root cause analysis.
- Design or redesign the process and/or underlying systems to minimize the risk of the effects on patients and residents.

[continued on next page]
Encouraging Patient or Resident Activation

To achieve the best outcomes, patients, residents, and families must be more actively engaged in decisions about their health care and must have broader access to information and support. Patient or resident activation is inextricably intertwined with patient and resident safety. Activated patients or residents are less likely to experience harm and unnecessary readmissions. Patients and residents who are less activated suffer poorer health outcomes and are less likely to follow their provider’s advice.\(^{31,32}\)

A patient- or resident-centered approach to care can help nursing care centers assess and enhance patient or resident activation. Achieving this requires leadership engagement in the effort to establish patient- or resident-centered care as a top priority throughout the organization. This includes adopting the following principles:\(^{33}\)

- Patient and resident safety guides all decision making.
- Patients, residents, and families are partners at every level of care.
- Patient-, resident- and family-centered care is verifiable, rewarded, and celebrated.
- The physician or other licensed practitioner responsible for the patient’s or resident’s care, or the physician’s or other licensed practitioner’s designee, discloses to the patient, resident, and family any unanticipated outcomes of care, treatment, and services.
- Transparent communication when harm occurs. Although Joint Commission standards do not require apology, evidence suggests that patients and residents benefit—and are less likely to pursue litigation—when care providers disclose harm, express sympathy, and apologize.\(^{34}\)
- Staffing levels are sufficient, and staff has the necessary tools and skills.
- The nursing care center has a focus on measurement, learning, and improvement.
- Staff must be fully engaged in patient-, resident-, and family-centered care as demonstrated by their skills, knowledge, and competence in compassionate communication.
Nursing care centers can adopt a number of strategies to support and improve patient or resident activation, including promoting culture change, adopting transitional care models, and leveraging health information technology capabilities.\textsuperscript{33}

A number of Joint Commission standards address patient and resident rights and provide an excellent starting point for nursing care centers seeking to improve patient or resident activation. These standards require that nursing care centers do the following:

- Respect, protect, and promote the patient’s or resident’s rights (Standard RI.01.01.01)
- Respect the patient’s or resident’s right to receive information in a manner the patient or resident understands (Standard RI.01.01.03)
- Respect the patient’s or resident’s right to participate in decisions about their care, treatment, and services (Standard RI.01.02.01)
- Honor the patient’s or resident’s right to give or withhold informed consent (Standard RI.01.03.01)
- Address patient or resident decisions about care, treatment, and services received at the end of life (Standard RI.01.05.01)
- Inform the patient or resident about their responsibilities related to their care, treatment, and services (Standard RI.02.01.01)

**Beyond Accreditation: The Joint Commission Is Your Patient and Resident Safety Partner**

To assist nursing care centers on their journey toward creating highly reliable patient or resident safety systems, The Joint Commission provides many resources, including the following:

- **Office of Quality and Patient Safety**: An internal Joint Commission department that offers nursing care centers guidance and support when an organization experiences a sentinel event or when a safety event is reported that may require analysis or improvement work. The Office of Quality and Patient Safety assesses the thoroughness and credibility of a nursing care center’s comprehensive systematic analysis as well as the action plan to help the organization prevent the hazardous or unsafe conditions from occurring again. (See the “Sentinel Event Policy” [SE] chapter for more information.)
Standards Interpretation Group: An internal Joint Commission department that helps organizations with their questions about Joint Commission standards. First, organizations can see if other organizations have had similar questions by accessing the Standards FAQs at https://www.jointcommission.org/standards/standard-faqs/. If an answer cannot be found in the FAQs, organizations can submit questions about standards to the Standards Interpretation Group by clicking on a link to complete an online submission form.

National Patient Safety Goals: The Joint Commission gathers information about emerging patient and resident safety issues from widely recognized experts and stakeholders to create the National Patient Safety Goals® (NPSG), which are tailored for each accreditation program. These goals focus on significant problems in health care safety and specific actions to prevent them. For a list of the current NPSG, go to the NPSG chapter in E-dition or the Comprehensive Accreditation Manual or http://www.jointcommission.org/standards_information/npsgs.

Sentinel Event Alert: The Joint Commission’s periodic alerts with timely information about similar, frequently reported sentinel events, including root causes, applicable Joint Commission requirements, and suggested actions to prevent a particular sentinel event. (For archives of previously published Sentinel Event Alerts, go to http://www.jointcommission.org/sentinel_event.aspx.)

Quick Safety: Quick Safety is a periodic newsletter that outlines an incident, topic, or trend in health care that could compromise patient safety. (For more information, visit https://www.jointcommission.org/resources/news-and-multimedia/newsletters/newsletters/quick-safety/.)

Joint Commission Resources: A Joint Commission affiliate that produces books and periodicals, holds conferences, provides consulting services, and develops software products for accreditation and survey readiness. (For more information, visit http://www.jcrinc.com.)

Webinars and podcasts: The Joint Commission and its affiliate, Joint Commission Resources, offer free and fee-based webinars and podcasts on various accreditation and safety topics.

Speak Up™ program: The Joint Commission’s campaign to educate patients and residents about health care processes and potential safety issues and encourage them to speak up whenever they have questions or concerns about their safety. For more information and patient education resources, go to http://www.jointcommission.org/speakup.
Joint Commission web portals: Through The Joint Commission website (at http://www.jointcommission.org/toc.aspx), organizations can access web portals with a repository of resources on the following topics:
- Zero Harm
- Emergency Management
- Health Care Workforce Safety and Well-Being
- Infection Prevention and Control
- Suicide Prevention
- Workplace Violence Prevention
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