Quality and Safety in Health Care
The quality of care and the safety of patients are core values of The Joint Commission accreditation process. This is a commitment The Joint Commission has made to patients, families, health care practitioners, staff, and home care organization leaders.

The ultimate purpose of The Joint Commission’s accreditation process is to enhance quality of care and patient safety. Each accreditation requirement, the survey process, the Sentinel Event Policy, and other Joint Commission policies and initiatives are designed to help organizations reduce variation, reduce risk, and improve quality. Home care organizations should have an integrated approach to patient safety so that safe patient care can be provided for every patient in every care setting and service.

Unlike hospitals, the home care environment is not under the control of the home care organization. Nonetheless, home care organizations are complex environments that require strong leadership to support a safe, patient-integrated safety system that includes the following:

■ Safety culture
■ Validated methods to improve processes and systems
■ Standardized ways for interdisciplinary teams to communicate and collaborate
■ Safely integrated technologies

In an integrated patient safety system, staff and leaders work together to eliminate complacency, promote collective mindfulness, treat each other with respect and compassion, and learn from patient safety events, including close calls and other system failures that have not yet led to patient harm in an effort to effectively coordinate and deliver home care products and services. Sidebar 1 defines these and other key terms.

Sidebar 1. Key Terms

■ patient safety event An event, incident, or condition that could have resulted or did result in harm to a patient.

continued on next page
Quality and safety in health care are inextricably linked. Quality, as defined by the Institute of Medicine, is the degree to which health services for individuals and populations increase the likelihood of desired health outcomes and are consistent with current professional knowledge.\(^1\) It is achieved when processes and results meet or exceed the needs and desires of the people it serves.\(^2,3\) Those needs and desires include safety.

The components of a quality management system should include the following:

- Ensuring reliable processes
- Decreasing variation and defects (waste)
- Focusing on achieving positive measurable outcomes
- Using evidence to ensure that a service is satisfactory

Patient safety emerges as a central aim of quality. Patient safety, as defined by the World Health Organization, is the prevention of errors and adverse effects to patients that are associated with health care. Safety is what patients, families, staff, and the public expect from Joint Commission–accredited organizations. While patient safety events may not be completely eliminated, the goal is always zero harm (that is, reducing harm to

---

For a list of specific patient safety events that are also considered sentinel events, see the “Sentinel Event Policy” (SE) chapter in E-dition® or the Comprehensive Accreditation Manual.
patients). Joint Commission–accredited organizations should be continually focused on eliminating system failures and human errors that may cause harm to patients, families, and staff.

**Goals of This Chapter**

This “Patient Safety Systems” (PS) chapter provides home care organizations with a proactive approach to maintaining or redesigning a patient-centered system that aims to improve quality of care and patient safety, an approach that aligns with the Joint Commission’s mission and its standards.

The Joint Commission partners with accredited home care organizations to improve their ability to protect patients. The first obligation of health care is to “do no harm.” Therefore, this chapter focuses on the following three guiding principles:

1. Aligning existing Joint Commission standards with daily work to engage patients and staff throughout the health care system, at all times, on reducing harm.
2. Assisting home care organizations to become learning organizations by advancing knowledge, skills, and competence of staff and patients by recommending methods that will improve quality and safety processes.
3. Encouraging and recommending proactive quality and patient safety methods that will increase accountability, trust, and knowledge while reducing the impact of fear and blame.

It informs and educates home care organizations about the importance and structure of an integrated patient safety system and helps staff understand the relationship between Joint Commission accreditation and patient safety. It offers approaches and methods that may be adapted by any health care organization that aims to increase the reliability and transparency of its complex systems while removing the risk of patient harm.

**The PS chapter refers to specific Joint Commission standards, describing how existing requirements can be applied to achieve improved patient safety. It does not contain any new requirements.** Standards cited in this chapter are formatted with the standard number in boldface type (for example, “Standard RI.01.01.01”) and are accompanied by language that summarizes the standard. For the full text of a standard and its element(s) of performance (EP), please reference E-dition or the Comprehensive Accreditation Manual.

Throughout this chapter, we will do the following:

- Discuss how home care organizations can develop into learning organizations
■ Identify the role leaders have to establish a safety culture and ensure staff accountability
■ Explain how home care organizations can continually evaluate the status and progress of their patient safety systems
■ Describe how home care organizations can work to prevent patient/client safety events with proactive risk assessments
■ Highlight the critical component of patient activation and engagement in a patient safety system
■ Provide a framework to guide home care organization leaders as they work to improve patient safety in their organizations

Becoming a Learning Organization
The need for sustainable improvement in patient safety and the quality of care has never been greater. One of the fundamental steps to achieving and sustaining this improvement is to become a learning organization. A learning organization is one in which people learn continuously, thereby enhancing their capabilities to create and innovate. Learning organizations uphold five principles:

1. Team learning
2. Shared visions and goals
3. A shared mental model (that is, similar ways of thinking)
4. Individual commitment to lifelong learning
5. Systems thinking

In a learning organization, patient safety events are seen as opportunities for learning and improvement. Therefore, leaders in learning organizations adopt a transparent, nonpunitive approach to reporting so that the organization can report to learn and can collectively learn from patient safety events. In order to become a learning organization, a home care organization must have a fair and just safety culture, a strong reporting system, and a commitment to put that data to work by driving improvement. Each of these require the support and active engagement of home care organization leadership to support and nurture the just and safe culture.

Leaders, staff, and patients in a learning organization realize that every patient safety event (from close calls to events that cause major harm to patients) must be reported and investigated. It is impossible to determine if there are practical prevention or mitigation countermeasures available for a patient safety event without first doing an event analysis. An event analysis will identify systems-level vulnerabilities and weaknesses and the
possible remedial or corrective actions that can be implemented. When patient safety events are continuously reported, experts within the home care organization can define the problem, complete a comprehensive systematic analysis, identify solutions, achieve sustainable results, and disseminate the changes or lessons learned to the rest of the home care organization. In a learning organization, the home care organization provides staff with information regarding improvements based on reported concerns. This helps foster trust that encourages further reporting. (See the “Sentinel Event Policy” [SE] chapter for more about comprehensive systematic analyses.)

The Role of Leaders in Patient Safety
Organization leaders provide the foundation for an effective patient safety system by doing the following:

- Promoting learning
- Motivating staff to uphold a fair and just safety culture
- Providing a transparent environment in which quality measures and learnings about patient harm events are freely shared with staff
- Modeling professional behavior
- Addressing intimidating behavior that might undermine the safety culture
- Providing the support, resources, and training necessary to take on and complete improvement initiatives

For these reasons, many of the standards that are focused on the home care organization’s patient safety system appear in the Joint Commission’s Leadership (LD) standards, including Standard LD.03.01.01 (which focuses on having a culture of safety).

Without the support of home care organization leaders, sustainable organizationwide changes and improvement initiatives are difficult to achieve. Leadership engagement in patient safety and quality initiatives is imperative because 75% to 80% of all initiatives that require people to change their behaviors fail in the absence of leadership managing the change. Thus, leadership should take on a long-term commitment to transform the home care organization.
Safety Culture

A strong safety culture is an essential component of a successful patient safety system and is a crucial starting point for home care organizations striving to become learning organizations. In a strong safety culture, the home care organization has an unrelenting commitment to safety and to do no harm. Among the most critical responsibilities of home care organization leaders is to establish and maintain a strong safety culture within their organization. The Joint Commission’s standards address safety culture in Standard LD.03.01.01, which requires leaders to create and maintain a culture of safety and quality throughout the home care organization.

The safety culture of a home care organization is the product of individual and group beliefs, values, attitudes, perceptions, competencies, and patterns of behavior that determine the organization’s commitment to quality and patient safety. Home care organizations that have a robust safety culture are characterized by communications founded on mutual trust, by shared perceptions of the importance of safety, and by confidence in the efficacy of preventive measures. Organizations will have varying levels of safety culture, but all should be working toward a safety culture that has the following qualities:

- Staff and leaders that value transparency, accountability, and mutual respect.
- Safety as everyone’s first priority.
- Behaviors that undermine a culture of safety are not acceptable, and thus are reported to organization leadership by staff, patients, and families for the purpose of fostering risk reduction.
- Collective mindfulness is present, wherein staff realize that systems always have the potential to fail and staff are focused on finding hazardous conditions or close calls at early stages before a patient may be harmed. Staff do not view close calls as evidence that the system prevented an error but rather as evidence that the system needs to be further improved to prevent any defects.
- Staff who do not deny or cover up errors but rather want to report errors to learn from mistakes and improve the system flaws that contribute to or enable patient safety events. Staff know that their leaders will focus not on blaming those involved in errors but on the systems issues that contributed to or enabled the patient safety event.
- By reporting and learning from patient safety events, staff create a learning organization.
A safety culture operates effectively when the home care organization fosters a cycle of trust, reporting, and improvement.\textsuperscript{11,16} In home care organizations that have a strong safety culture, health care staff trust their coworkers and leaders to support them when they identify and report a patient safety event.\textsuperscript{11} When trust is established, staff are more likely to report patient safety events, and home care organizations can use these reports to inform their improvement efforts. In the trust-report-improve cycle, leaders foster trust, which enables staff to report, which enables the home care organization to improve.\textsuperscript{11} In turn, staff see that their reporting contributes to actual improvement, which bolsters their trust. Thus, the trust-report-improve cycle reinforces itself.\textsuperscript{11} (See Figure 1.)

Leaders and staff need to address intimidating or unprofessional behaviors within the home care organization, so as not to inhibit others from reporting safety concerns.\textsuperscript{17} Leaders should both educate staff and hold them accountable for professional behavior. This includes the adoption and promotion of a code of conduct that defines acceptable behavior as well as behaviors that undermine a culture of safety. The Joint Commission’s Standard LD.03.01.01, EP 4, requires that leaders develop such a code.

---

**Figure 1.** The Trust-Report-Improve Cycle. In the trust-report-improve cycle, trust promotes reporting, which leads to improvement, which in turn fosters trust.
Intimidating and disrespectful behaviors disrupt the culture of safety and prevent collaboration, communication, and teamwork, which is required for safe and highly reliable patient care. Disrespect is not limited to outbursts of anger that humiliate a member of the health care team; it can manifest in many forms, including the following:

- Inappropriate words (profane, insulting, intimidating, demeaning, humiliating, or abusive language)
- Shaming others for negative outcomes
- Unjustified negative comments or complaints about another staff member’s care
- Refusal to comply with known and generally accepted practice standards, which may prevent other staff from delivering quality care
- Not working collaboratively or cooperatively with other members of the interdisciplinary team
- Creating rigid or inflexible barriers to requests for assistance or cooperation
- Not returning pages or calls promptly

These issues are still occurring in home care organizations nationwide. Of 4,884 respondents to a 2013 survey by the Institute for Safe Medication Practices (ISMP), 73% reported encountering negative comments about colleagues or leaders during the previous year. In addition, 68% reported condescending language or demeaning comments or insults, while 77% of respondents said they had encountered reluctance or refusal to answer questions or return calls. Further, 69% reported that they had encountered impatience with questions or had been hung up on during a phone conversation.

Nearly 50% of the respondents indicated that intimidating behaviors had affected the way they handle medication order clarifications or questions, including assuming that an order was correct in order to avoid interaction with an intimidating coworker. Moreover, 11% said they were aware of a medication error during the previous year in which behavior that undermines a culture of safety was a contributing factor. The respondents included nurses, physicians, pharmacists, and quality/risk management personnel.

Only 50% of respondents indicated that their organizations had clearly defined an effective process for handling disagreements with the safety of an order. This is down from 60% of respondents to a similar ISMP survey conducted in 2003, which suggests...
that this problem is worsening. While these data are specific to medication safety, their lessons are broadly applicable: Behaviors that undermine a culture of safety have an adverse effect on quality and patient safety.

**A Fair and Just Safety Culture**

A fair and just safety culture is needed for staff to trust that they can report patient safety events without being treated punitively. In order to accomplish this, home care organizations should provide and encourage the use of a standardized reporting process for staff to report patient safety events. This is also built into the Joint Commission’s standards at Standard **LD.03.09.01**, EP 3, which requires leaders to provide and encourage the use of systems for blame-free reporting of a system or process failure or the results of proactive risk assessments. Reporting enables both proactive and reactive risk reduction. Proactive risk reduction solves problems before patients/clients are harmed, and reactive risk reduction attempts to prevent the recurrence of problems that have already caused patient harm.

A fair and just culture takes into account that individuals are human, fallible, and capable of mistakes, and that they work in systems that are often flawed. In the most basic terms, a fair and just culture holds individuals accountable for their actions but does not punish individuals for issues attributed to flawed systems or processes. Standard **LD.03.09.01**, requires that staff are held accountable for their responsibilities. It is important to note that for some actions for which an individual is accountable, the individual should be held culpable and some disciplinary action may then be necessary. (See Sidebar 2, below, for a discussion of tools that can help leaders determine a fair and just response to a patient safety event.) However, staff should never be punished or ostracized for reporting the event, close call, hazardous condition, or concern.

**Sidebar 2. Assessing Staff Accountability**

The aim of a safety culture is not a “blame-free” culture but one that balances organization learning with individual accountability. To achieve this, it is essential that leaders assess errors and patterns of behavior in a consistent manner, with the goal of eliminating behaviors that undermine a culture of safety. There has to exist within the home care organization a clear, equitable, and transparent process for recognizing and separating the blameless errors that fallible humans make daily from the unsafe or reckless acts that are blameworthy.
Sidebar 2. Assessing Staff Accountability (continued)

Numerous sources (see references below) are available to assist an organization in creating a formal decision process to determine what events should be considered blameworthy and require individual discipline in addition to systems-level corrective actions. The use of a formal process reinforces the culture of safety and demonstrates the organization’s commitment to transparency and fairness.

Reaching a determination of staff accountability requires an initial investigation into the patient safety event to identify contributing factors. The use of the Incident Decision Tree (adapted by the United Kingdom’s National Patient Safety Agency from James Reason’s culpability matrix) or another formal decision process can help make determinations of culpability more transparent and fair.5
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Data Use and Reporting Systems

An effective culture of safety is evidenced by a robust reporting system and use of measurement to improve. When home care organizations adopt a transparent, nonpunitive approach to reports of patient safety events or other concerns, the organization begins reporting to learn—and to learn collectively from adverse events, close calls, and hazardous conditions. While this section focuses on data from reported patient safety events, it is but one type of data among many that should be collected and used to drive improvement.

When there is continuous reporting for adverse events, close calls, and hazardous conditions, the home care organization can analyze the events, change the process or system to improve safety, and disseminate the changes or lessons learned to the rest of the organization.\textsuperscript{21–25}

A number of standards relate to the reporting of safety information, including Performance Improvement (PI) Standard PI.01.01.01, which requires home care organizations to collect data to monitor their performance, and Standard LD.03.02.01, which requires organizations to use data and information to guide decisions and to understand variation in the performance of processes supporting safety and quality.

Home care organizations can engage frontline staff in internal reporting in a number of ways, including the following:

- Create a nonpunitive approach to patient safety event reporting
- Educate staff on and encourage them to identify patient safety events that should be reported
- Provide timely feedback regarding actions taken on reported patient safety events
Effective Use of Data

Collecting Data
When home care organizations collect data or measure staff compliance with evidence-based care processes or patient outcomes, they can manage and improve those processes or outcomes and, ultimately, improve patient safety.\textsuperscript{25} The effective use of data enables home care organizations to identify problems, prioritize issues, develop solutions, and track performance to determine success.\textsuperscript{10} Objective data can be used to support decisions as well as to influence people to change their behaviors and to comply with evidence-based care guidelines.\textsuperscript{10,23}

The Joint Commission and the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) both require home care organizations to collect and use data related to adverse patient events, incidents, or outcomes and patient harm events. Some key Joint Commission standards related to data collection and use require home care organizations to do the following:

- Identify risks for acquiring and transmitting infection (Standard IC.01.03.01)
- Use data and information to guide decisions and to understand variation in the performance of processes supporting safety and quality (Standard LD.03.02.01)
- Have an organizationwide, integrated patient safety program (Standard LD.03.09.01)
- Evaluate the effectiveness of their medication management system (Standard MM.08.01.01)
- Report (if using Joint Commission accreditation for deemed inpatient hospices) deaths associated with the use of restraint and seclusion (Standard PC.03.05.19)
- Collect data to monitor their performance (Standard PI.01.01.01)
- Improve performance on an ongoing basis (Standard PI.03.01.01)

Analyzing Data
Effective data analysis can enable a home care organization to “diagnose” problems within its system similar to the way one would diagnose a patient’s illness based on symptoms, health history, and other factors. Turning data into information is a critical competency of a learning organization and of effective management of change. When the right data are collected and appropriate analytic techniques are applied, it enables the home care organization to monitor the performance of a system, detect variation, and identify opportunities to improve. This can help the home care organization not only understand the current performance of organizational systems but also can help it predict its performance going forward.\textsuperscript{24}
Analyzing data with tools such as run charts, statistical process control (SPC) charts, and capability charts helps a home care organization determine what has occurred in a system and provides clues as to why the system responded as it did. Table 1, following, describes and compares examples of these tools.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tool</th>
<th>What It Is</th>
<th>When to Use It</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Run Chart                     | A data chart, plotted in time order, used to show the performance of a process over time. It shows both positive and negative patterns, trends, and variation in a process.                                   | - When the organization needs to identify changes and variation within a process  
- When the organization needs a simple and straightforward analysis of a process  
- As a precursor to an SPC chart                                                                                                                                                     |
| Statistical Process Control (SPC) Chart | An advanced data chart, plotted in time order, used to show the performance and stability of a process over time. The chart includes a center line (process mean) and upper and lower control limits (process variation), based on the data plotted, that show both positive and negative patterns, trends, and variation in a process. Action is taken when a point goes beyond a control limit or points form a pattern or trend. | - When the organization needs to determine if a process is stable, to identify variation within a process, or find indicators of why the variation occurred  
- When the organization needs a more detailed and in-depth analysis of a process                                                                                                                                 |
| Capability Chart              | A chart used to assess the capability of a process to meet specifications based on the voice of the customer. The chart shows upper and/or lower specifications (that is, customer requirements or targets).                                   | - When the organization needs to determine whether a process will function as expected, according to specifications (requirements or targets)  
- When the organization needs to determine how capable their process is for meeting customer specifications (requirements or targets)                                                   |

**Using Data to Drive Improvement**

After data has been turned into information, leadership should ensure the following (per the requirements shown):  
- Information is presented in a clear manner (Standard LD.03.04.01)
A Proactive Approach to Preventing Harm

Proactive risk reduction prevents harm before it reaches the patient. By engaging in proactive risk reduction, an organization can correct process problems to reduce the likelihood of experiencing adverse events. Additional benefits of a proactive approach to patient safety include increased likelihood of the following:

- Identification of actionable common causes
- Avoidance of unintended consequences
- Identification of commonalities across departments/services/units
- Identification of system solutions

In a proactive risk assessment the organization evaluates a process to see how it could potentially fail, to understand the consequences of such a failure, and to identify parts of the process that need improvement. A proactive risk assessment increases understanding within the organization about the complexities of process design and management—and what could happen if the process fails.

The Joint Commission addresses proactive risk assessments at Standard LD.03.09.01, EP 8, which recommends using the results of proactive risk assessments to improve safety. Organizations working to become learning organizations are encouraged to exceed this requirement by constantly working to proactively identify risk.

When conducting a proactive risk assessment, organizations should prioritize high-risk, high-frequency areas. Areas of risk are identified from internal sources such as ongoing monitoring of the environment, results of previous proactive risk assessments, and results of data collection activities. Risk assessment tools should be accessed from credible external sources such as nationally recognized risk assessment tools and peer review literature.

Hazardous (or unsafe) conditions also provide an opportunity for an organization to take a proactive approach to reduce harm. Organizations benefit from identifying hazardous conditions while designing any new process that could impact patient safety. A hazardous condition is defined as any circumstance that increases the probability of a
patient safety event. A hazardous condition may be the result of a human error or violation, may be a design flaw in a system or process, or may arise in a system or process in changing circumstances.† A proactive approach to such conditions should include an analysis of the systems and processes in which the hazardous condition is found, with a focus on the climate that preceded the hazardous condition.

A proactive approach to hazardous conditions should include an analysis of the related systems and processes, including the following aspects:29

- **Preconditions.** Examples include hazardous (or unsafe) conditions in the environment of care (such as noise, clutter, wet floors, and so forth), inadequate staffing levels (inability to effectively monitor, observe, and provide care, treatment, or services to patients).
- **Supervisory influences.** Examples include inadequate supervision, unsafe operations, failure to address a known problem, authorization of activities that are known to be hazardous.
- **Organization influences.** Examples include inadequate staffing, organization culture, lack of strategic risk assessment.

**Tools for Conducting a Proactive Risk Assessment**

A number of tools are available to help organizations conduct a proactive risk assessment. One of the best known of these tools is the Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA). An FMEA is used to prospectively examine how failures could occur during high-risk processes and, ultimately, how to prevent them. The FMEA asks “What if?” to explore what could happen if a failure occurs at particular steps in a process.30

Other tools to consider using for a proactive risk assessment include the following:

- **Institute for Safe Medication Practices Medication Safety Self Assessment®.**
  
  Available for various health care settings, these tools are designed to help reduce medication errors. Visit https://www.ismp.org/selfassessments/default.asp for more information.

---

† Human errors are typically skills based, decision based, or knowledge based, whereas violations could be either routine or exceptional (intentional or negligent). *Routine violations* tend to include habitual “bending of the rules,” often enabled by management. A routine violation may break established rules or policies, and yet be a common practice within an organization. An *exceptional violation* is a willful behavior outside the norm that is not condoned by management, engaged in by others, nor part of the individual’s usual behavior. **Source:** Diller T, et al. The human factors analysis classification system (HFACS) applied to health care. *Am J Med Qual.* 2014 May–Jun;29(3):181–190.

Potential problem analysis (PPA) is a systematic method for determining what could go wrong in a plan under development, rating problem causes according to their likelihood of occurrence and the severity of their consequences. Visit https://digital.ahrq.gov/health-it-tools-and-resources/evaluation-resources/workflow-assessment-health-it-toolkit/all-workflow-tools/potential-problem-analysis for more information.

Process decision program chart (PDPC) provides a systematic means of finding errors with a plan while it is being created. After potential issues are found, preventive measures are developed, allowing the problems to either be avoided or a contingency plan to be in place should the error occur. Visit https://digital.ahrq.gov/health-it-tools-and-resources/evaluation-resources/workflow-assessment-health-it-toolkit/all-workflow-tools/process-decision-program-chart for more information.

Sidebar 3 lists strategies for conducting an effective proactive risk assessment, no matter the strategy chosen.

Sidebar 3. Strategies for an Effective Risk Assessment

Regardless of the method chosen for conducting a proactive risk assessment, it should address the following points:

- Promote a blame-free reporting culture and provide a reporting system to support it.
- Describe the chosen process (for example, through the use of a flowchart).
- Identify ways in which the process could break down or fail to perform its desired function, which are often referred to as “failure modes.”
- Identify the possible effects that a breakdown or failure of the process could have on patients and the seriousness of the possible effects.
- Prioritize the potential process breakdowns or failures.
- Determine why the prioritized breakdowns or failures could occur, which may involve performing a hypothetical root cause analysis.
- Design or redesign the process and/or underlying systems to minimize the risk of the effects on patients.

continued on next page
Sidebar 3. (continued)

■ Test and implement the newly designed or redesigned process.
■ Monitor the effectiveness of the newly designed or redesigned process.

Encouraging Patient Activation
To achieve the best outcomes, patients and families must be more actively engaged in decisions about their health care and must have broader access to information and support. Patient activation is inextricably intertwined with patient safety. Activated patients are less likely to experience harm and unnecessary hospital admissions. Patients who are less activated suffer poorer health outcomes and are less likely to follow their physician’s or other licensed practitioner’s advice.\(^{31,32}\)

A patient-centered approach to care can help home care organizations assess and enhance patient activation. Achieving this requires leadership engagement in the effort to establish patient-centered care as a top priority throughout the home care organization. This includes adopting the following principles:\(^{33}\)

■ Patient safety guides all decision making.
■ Patients and families are partners at every level of care.
■ Patient- and family-centered care is verifiable, rewarded, and celebrated.
■ The physician or other licensed practitioner responsible for the patient’s care, or the physician’s or other licensed practitioner’s designee, discloses to the patient and family any unanticipated outcomes of care, treatment, and services.
■ Transparent communication when harm occurs. Although Joint Commission standards do not require apology, evidence suggests that patients benefit—and are less likely to pursue litigation—when physicians disclose harm, express sympathy, and apologize.\(^{34}\)
■ Staffing levels are sufficient, and staff has the necessary tools and skills.
■ The home care organization has a focus on measurement, learning, and improvement.
■ Staff must be fully engaged in patient- and family-centered care as demonstrated by their skills, knowledge, and competence in compassionate communication.
Home care organizations can adopt a number of strategies to support and improve patient activation, including promoting culture change, adopting transitional care models, and leveraging health information technology capabilities.33

A number of Joint Commission standards address patient rights and provide an excellent starting point for home care organizations seeking to improve patient activation. These standards require that organizations do the following:

- Respect, protect, and promote patient rights (Standard RI.01.01.01)
- Respect the patient’s right to receive information in a manner the patient understands (Standard RI.01.01.03)
- Respect the patient’s right to participate in decisions about their care, treatment, and services (Standard RI.01.02.01)
- Honor the patient’s right to give or withhold informed consent (Standard RI.01.03.01)
- Address patient decisions about care, treatment, and services received at the end of life (Standard RI.01.05.01)
- Inform the patient about their responsibilities related to their care, treatment, and services (Standard RI.02.01.01)

Beyond Accreditation: The Joint Commission Is Your Patient Safety Partner

To assist home care organizations on their journey toward creating highly reliable patient safety systems, The Joint Commission provides many resources, including the following:

- Office of Quality and Patient Safety: An internal Joint Commission department that offers home care organizations guidance and support when an organization experiences a sentinel event or when a safety event is reported that may require analysis or improvement work. The Office of Quality and Patient Safety assesses the thoroughness and credibility of a home care organization’s comprehensive systematic analysis as well as the action plan to help the home care organization prevent the hazardous or unsafe conditions from occurring again. (See the “Sentinel Event Policy” [SE] chapter for more information.)

- Standards Interpretation Group: An internal Joint Commission department that helps organizations with their questions about Joint Commission standards. First, organizations can see if other organizations have had similar questions by accessing the Standards FAQs at https://www.jointcommission.org/standards/standard-faqs/.
If an answer cannot be found in the FAQs, organizations can submit questions about standards to the Standards Interpretation Group by clicking on a link to complete an online submission form.

- **National Patient Safety Goals**: The Joint Commission gathers information about emerging patient safety issues from widely recognized experts and stakeholders to create the National Patient Safety Goals® (NPSG), which are tailored for each accreditation program. These goals focus on significant problems in health care safety and specific actions to prevent them. For a list of the current NPSG, go to the NPSG chapter in E-dition or the Comprehensive Accreditation Manual or http:/www.jointcommission.org/standards_information/npsgs.

- **Sentinel Event Alert**: The Joint Commission’s periodic alerts with timely information about similar, frequently reported sentinel events, including root causes, applicable Joint Commission requirements, and suggested actions to prevent a particular sentinel event. (For archives of previously published Sentinel Event Alerts, go to http://www.jointcommission.org/sentinel_event.aspx.)

- **Quick Safety**: Quick Safety is a periodic newsletter that outlines an incident, topic, or trend in health care that could compromise patient safety. (For more information, visit https://www.jointcommission.org/resources/news-and-multimedia/newsletters/newsletters/quick-safety/)

- **Joint Commission Resources**: A Joint Commission not-for-profit affiliate that produces books and periodicals, holds conferences, provides consulting services, and develops software products for accreditation and survey readiness. (For more information, visit http://www.jcrinc.com.)

- **Webinars and podcasts**: The Joint Commission and its affiliate, Joint Commission Resources, offer free and fee-based webinars and podcasts on various accreditation and patient safety topics.

- **Speak Up™ program**: The Joint Commission’s campaign to educate patients about health care processes and potential safety issues and encourage them to speak up whenever they have questions or concerns about their safety. For more information and patient education resources, go to http://www.jointcommission.org/speakup.

- **Joint Commission patient safety web portals**: Through The Joint Commission website (at http://www.jointcommission.org/toc.aspx), organizations can access web portals with a repository of resources on the following topics:
  - Zero Harm
  - Emergency Management
  - Health Care Workforce Safety and Well-Being
  - Infection Prevention and Control
Suicide Prevention
Workplace Violence Prevention
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